U.S. Intelligence Was Surprisea By Enemy's Drive, Aides Assert

By BENJAMIN WELLES Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 10-Evidence has begun to emerge here that United States intelligence was caught by surprise by the direction, timing and nower of the North Vietnamese offensive in South Vietnam.

The National Security Council's Intelligence Committee, headed by Henry A. Kissinger, President Nixon's national security adviser, reportedly concluded that the main enemy thrust would come from west to east against Kontum in the Central Highlands and not, as happened, from north to south

across the demilitarized zone to Quangtri.

Some senior intelligence an-alvsts here insist that there has been no "intelligence failure" during the five-week of-fensive in Vietnam. They add that the enemy build-up had been observed and meticulously reported since it began early in the year.

"We've kept the policy-makers fully informed" said one source, who asked not to be identified. "There's been no disagreement about the enemy capability. We saw the build-up -though we couldn't tell just

Continued on Page 19, Column 4

when or where he'd strike. What our policy-makers or the South Vietnamese did with our reports is out of our hands."

Nonetheless, there are other veteran United States intelligence officials who concede that the North Vietnamese gained a major tactical surprise—and four or five days of virtually unimpeded advance, which led to the seizure of Quantri—by hitting where and when it was least expected.

"The intelligence people did nor anticinate that the North Vietnamese. Whether they were disassembled and brought south by truck or river boat, or whether they were driven at night and camouflaged by day to avoid United tSates air attacks, is short route,"—one such source said. "The surprise was that for the first time in 18 years the Communists stopped the pretense of 'infiltration' and went down the coastal Highway 1. Frankly we were surprised that

down the coastal Highway 1 ed States ground combat in re-Frankly we were surprised that the claim of a 'people's' civil until the current offensive—

war was destroyed."

In addition, intelligence sources here acknowledge that they have been surprised by the vast quantities of bulky and often complex weapons moved as much as 600 to 700 miles south by trucks, river boats and even on bicycles pushed or ridden over jungle trails.

—until the current offensive—of much American aerial surveillance are cited as reasons why the North managed to achieve these surprises.

"We've cut way back on our SLAR," an informant said, referring to Sideways-Looking Airborne Radar flights. "Even radar isn't much help when you're trving to peer through

den over jungle trails.

"We knew an offensive was coming," said one intelligence analyst, "but we didn't know the quantity, and the types of their supplies, the distribution into future battle areas, and especially the amount of their ammunition. They dropped more than 2 000 artillery rounds into than 2,000 artillery rounds into

Anloc a few days ago."

Some officials here concede that the United States, despite its array of electronic technology, infrared photography, acoustical "sensors" and aerial-surveillance techniques, appears to have been outwitted by the North Vienamese.

"We've been listening in on their radio communications for years—and they know it," said one inforant. "They're getting more sophisticated. They're beginning to use countermeasures."

The United States aerial flights that used infrared devices to pick up heat arising from large masses moving at night and the electronic "sensors" scattered by the

Approved For Release 2001 108/280 CM-RIP 74B00415R000300200015-7

trail retrack are "imperfect,"

969 ADVICE TO NIXON

CIA Doubtful on Port Mining

By MORTON KONDRACKE and THOMAS B. ROSS Chicago Sun-Times Service

President Nixon was advised by the Central Intelligence Agency in 1969 that the type of action he now has ordered to cut off supplies to North Vietnam would not work.

"Within two or three months," the CIA declared in a secret memo, "North Vietnam and its allies would be able to implement alternative procedures for maintaining the flow of essential economic and military imports."

The State Department and the Defense Department were less pessimistic. But both conceded that the effort to prevent resupply through alternative land routes from China would involve much heavier bombing and a much higher risk of civilian casualties.

The estimates of the three agencies are contained in National Security Memorandum 1 (NSSM-1), a secret study of the war compiled by the President's national security adviser Henry A. Kissinger.

Gravel Reads Memo

This section of the memo was read into the Congressional Record on the Senate floor yesterday by Sen. Mike Gravel, D-Alaska, although two weeks ago he had been blocked by Republicans from doing so. Copies of the memo also have been obtained and their contents reported by some newspapers recently.

Asked about the CIA's gloomy forecast at a press conference yesterday, Kissinger said it had been "carefully considered" but that Nixon also had before him recent and "much more detailed studies," which he implied were more optimistic.

In the 1969 study, Kissinger asked: "What are current views on proportion of waressential imports that could come into NVN (North Vietnam) over the rail or road lines from China, even if all imports by sea were denied and strong effort even made to interdict ground transport?"

The CIA replied: "All the war-essential imports could be brought into North Vietnam over rail lines or roads from China in the event that imports by sea were successfully denied...."

Pessimistic View

"Almost four years of air war in North Vietnam have shown — as did the Korean war — that, although air strikes will destroy transport facilities, equipment and supplies, they cannot successfully interdict the flow of supplies because much of the damage can frequently be repaired within hours...

"An intensive and sustained air interdiction program could have a good chance of reducing the northern rail capacity by at least half. However, roads are less vulnerable to interdiction, and waterways even less so . . .

"In addition to the overland capacity, an airlift from chinese airfields could potentially provide a means for importing a large volume of high-priority goods. Moreover, total interdiction of seaborne imports would be difficult because shallow-draft lighters could be used to unload cargo from oceangoing ships anchored in waters outside the mined major harbor areas."

The State Department commented: "we do not believe that the capacity of the DRV-PRC (North Vietnam-China) road and rail network is great enough to permit an adequate flow of supplies in the face of an intense day and night bombing campaign . . .

"On the other hand, one important point should be kept in mind. The North Vietnamese surprised many observers, and confounded many predictions, by holding together and simultaneously sending ever-increasing amounts of supplies and personnel into the South during 3½ years of bombing

"With this experience in mind, there is little reason to believe that new bombing will accomplish what previous bombing failed to do, unless it is conducted with much greater intensity and readiness to defy criticism and risk of escalation."

The Defense Department declared: "An interdiction campaign . . . when employed in conjunction with denial of sea imports, would, in large part, isolate Hanoi and Haiphong from each other and from the rest of the country."

The Washington Merry-Go-Round

THE WASHINGTON POST Wednesday, May 31, 1972 B 15

Bombing Said to Bolster Hanoi Effort

By Jack Anderson

The latest secret intellince reports suggest strongly hat the hombing of North Vietnam is bolstering rather han weakening the Communist war effort.

There's no doubt that the devastating U.S. firepower has destroyed military supplies and crippled production. But the intelligence reports say triotism.

before President Even Nixon renewed the bombing, the intelligence reports claim, North Vietnam was badly falby floods.

This had caused a virtual breakdown of the distribution system. Farmers were hoarding their harvests instead of delivering them to the market. Only the black market was ally. bustling. Smugglers and speculators were doing a brisk business behind the backs of the commissars.

Last year's floods were said to be the worst in 25 years. One CIA cable quoting a reliable source, reported that "probably at least 80 per cent of the country's rice-growing areas had been damaged in varving degrees . . .

ticularly serious, primarily be- knowledge cannot be cut off They should go for Humphrey. cause of the loss of draft ani- by bombing. mals ... Hanoi had been Because of the bombing, saved only because the dikes Hanoi has also brought pres-

North Vietnamese economy plies they'll need. could stand. One intelligence Greek's Predictions estimate suggests that Hanoi that the North Vietnamese launched the recent offensive, Las Vegas oddsmaker Jimmy economy was already breaking in part, to divert the people's down. Now Hanoi can blame it attention from their economic all on the hombing and exhort woes. In a sense, the US all on the bombing and exhort woes. In a sense, the U.S. the people to put up with naval and air bombardment hardships in the name of pa- was almost welcome as an excuse to explain the staggering shortages and to overcome internal discontent.

There's no doubt, too, that the mining of Haiphong hartering. The economy had been bor and the bombing of the strained by war and battered rail lines has disrupted the flow of military supplies. But this has also forced the two This is an environment of the supplies of the two This is an environment of the supplies of the two This is an environment of the supplies of the Communist rivals, Russia and China, to work together for the first time in 10 years to help their North Vietnamese shore oil drilling, initiate &

> that the Chinese have agreed plants, and restrict pesticides. to permit a massive increase in Soviet rail shipments across huge block of undecided vot-China. The first shipments, ers will be lured to the polls which would have been loaded by their opposition to "Prop. onto ships at Vladivostok, 9." His surveys show most of have already been diverted these voters will pull the lever overland.

China and North Vietnam

had been purposely breached sure upon Moscow and Peking mary day, the Greek warned to channel the flood waters to increase their shipments. into the districts north of the city."

On top of war losses, this into the districts north of the city."

On top of war losses, this into the bombing, that the lar, could affect the outcome.

McGovern debates, in particular, could affect the outcome. was more than the harassed up with all the military sup-

nia primary race will end in a "dead heat" between Demotrate George McGovern and cast the deciding vote in favor Hubert Humphrey.

Every other major canvasser has picked McGovern to win by a comfortable margin.

The Greek, however, thinks Humphrey will be saved by what is known as "Proposition

This is an environmental proposal which, among other things, would shut down cor. primary.

© 1972, United Feature Syndicate porate polluters, restrict offfive-year moratorium on con-Intelligence reports claim struction of nuclear power

Jimmy believes California's over the Humphrey column.

A large number of the silent eas had been damaged in are linked by a network of rail "undecideds," Jimmy reckons, lines, roads and trails which are people who work in industries that would be restricted.

the labor-backed candidate.

But a lot can happen beus. The three-part Humphrey-McGovern debates, in particu-

McGovern has the most money and a better organization, Jimmy conceded. But on the negative side, McGovern hurt himself with California's huge defense industry when

of Lockheed.

It all adds up, says the nation's premier oddsmaker, to a neck-and-neck race.

Note: The Greek called two other primaries for us right on the nose, picking Wallace to take the Democratic prize in Florida and Humphrey to edge McGovern in the close Ohio

The Washington Merry-Go-Round

THE WASHINGTON POST Friday, Aug. 18, 1972

Hanoi Signals Viewed Not Serious

By Jack Anderson

We have had access to classified documents which shed new light on the controversy over whether President Nixon "missed" an opportunity to end the Vietnam War in 1969. The North Vietnamese sent

out several signals after the 1968 election that they were willing to make peace with the new Nixon administration. These ranged from guarded messages to military pullbacks.

The messages, some more vague than others, reached Washington through a variety of channels. But more impres-South Vietnam.

The key to the controversy lies in the interpretation of mined to hold off the day the would probably bide its time. these moves. The new Presiment rather than a political election in 1972. signal.

The minutes of a secret

sonable compromise, we will meet them half-way. If they insist on American humiliation, we will resist."

He held out hope the North nations. But the document Soviet and available Free Vietnamese, despite louder stressed: growlings from Hanoi, would come to terms. "The Hanoi tactics are the use of unbridled ferocity," Kissinger said, "until just before they are ready to settle."

road would be negotiation," he said, "the slow road Vietnamization."

Slow Road

sive was the withdrawal of 22 of 25 regiments from the two northernmost provinces of fore was lined at delaying it.

South as its rationalize the war. Its rationalize the war. The document example, that H not avoiding it.

Communists took power in dent chose not to regard the Saigon, we must conclude, for messages as serious peace his own political reasons. He overtures. He also interpreted simply didn't want the Comments as a military redeploy- while he was running for re-

He had reason to regard Communist control more as a White House meeting on Oct. political problem for himself attitude. Speaking for the U.S. For at the time of his in-

"While some Southeast Asian leaders would probably entertain doubts about the will of the U.S. to play a security role in the area, we do not believe that they would be cantly: "Current Chinese He outlined a two-track panicked into precipitate strategy for peace. "The rapid changes in policy or posture." panicked into precipitate

Instead, the document predicted these leaders would this strategy.' take a wait-and-see stance.

The estimate also offered a virtual point-by-point rebuttal President Nixon has trav- of the so-called "domino eled the slow road. His intelli-gence estimates warned that a ministration had so often used communist takeover in South as its rationalization for con-

> hopes to extend its control over Laos and Cambodia but

"They might fear some risk of a new U.S. military reconsolidating Communist rule in South Vietnam."

The estimate gave this forecast about relations between ment was that he couldn't af-28, 1969, summarize the Nixon than a serious menace to the Hanoi and Peking in the event ford to lose South Vietnam to of a Communist triumph in

attitude. Speaking for the U.S. For at the time of his inPresident, Henry Kissinger declared:

"We have mentioned ceasefire (to Hanoi) in various connotations. If they want a reasonable compromise, we will

There would be damage, ac
There would be damage, ac
The settimate and this inauguration, the National Intelthe south:

"It is possible . . . that the
two countries would draw grapid road" to peace, via neploser together . . It seems
more likely, however, that
Hanoi would wish to take the
to take, has cost more than
to apportunity to establish quite to the settimate to apport the south:

1972 election.

Perhaps he is right that the
two countries would draw gotiation, was illusory. But the
more likely, however, that
Hanoi would wish to take the
to take, has cost more than the countries and the south:

The communist triumph in the communists belove the south:

1972 election.

Perhaps he is right that the
two countries would draw grapid road" to peace, via netogether . . It seems
more likely, however, that
Hanoi would wish to take the cording to the estimate, to opportunity to establish quite U.S. prestige and credibility clearly its independence of the among other Southeast Asian Chinese, relying on continued 15,000 American lives since he took office.

World" assistance.

The document said Peking could be expected to beat the propaganda drums over the expansion of Communist constrategy does not appear to call for overt aggression, and we do not foresee a change in

As for Moscow, the docu-ment predicted: "The Soviet Union is not likely to become a major supporter of Communist subversion in Southeast Asia after Vietnam."

The CIA's Office of National The document declared, for example, that Hanoi no doubt that if Salgon fell. "North hopes to extend its control Vietnam would consume itself in Laos and South Vietnam. Only Laos would definitely follow into the Communist orbit."

Whatever the intelligence assessment, however, Presi-dent Nixon's political assessthe Communists before the

'The Human Cost of Commun

'If the North takes over the South, what will the bloodbath be?'

By ROBERT THOMPSON

LONDON-The present invasion of South Vietnam and the intense fighting of the last few weeks draw attention once again to the human suffering caused, on an almost unprecedented scale, to the Vietnamese people by the continuing war. I am not here considering the battle casualties which, although on each side they have probably reached 500,000, can at least be regarded as "legitimate" in war.

Nor am I considering the refugees who, although their plight may be tragic, are at least still alive.

What should most concern us is the number of civilians who have been killed in both halves of Vietnam, and those who may yet die in the future, as part of the human cost of Communism.

The Western conscience is immediately pricked by an Americancommitted atrocity, such as Mylai, and by the civilian casualties caused by the bombing of the North (although such casualties are now likely to be far less than during 1965-68 because of the development of the extremely accurate "smart" bomb).

Little or no attention, however, and certainly no equivalent reporting, has been given to similar Vietcong or North Vietnamese atrocities which have occurred on a scale that makes Mylai almost insignificant. These have not occurred because of some aberration, accident or inaccuracy of bombing. They have occurred, both selectively and indiscriminately, as a matter of deliberate policy.

At the time Hanoi complained of six civilian casualties, as a result of the first American raid on the North after the invasion began, she was firing 122-mm. rockets indiscriminately into Saigon and Pnompenh, killing more than ten times that number.

Her Russian 130-mm. guns have pounded Anloc and Quangtri to rubble. They will do the same to Kontum and Hue if they get within range without any consideration whatsoever for the civilian population.

Everyone has heard of Mylai, but who has heard of Caibe where the Vietcong, after its capture, lingered only to murder the wives and children of all the local militia? Or of the Montagnard village of Dakson, where they moved from hut to hut with flame-throwers incinerating more than 250 villagers, two-thirds of them women and children?

Most people have heard of the massacres at Hue in 1968 where the Vietcong and North Vietnamese, after its capture, executed 5,700 people (as assessed from the mass graves found afterwards) but who knows that in captured documents they gloated over these figures and only complained that they had not killed enough? These were not aberrations, nor savagery for savagery's sake, nor the work of undis-ciplined soldiers acting in violation of

instructions, but part of a ruthless deliberate policy designed to break a people who would not otherwise bend to their will.

The world cannot plead ignorance because it has all been well documented. The evidence has been authoritatively put together in a compendium prepared, surprisingly, for the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary (the meat was obviously too red for Senator Fulbright and the Foreign Affairs Committee).

There are distressing implications for the future. If the invasion succeeds and the North takes over the South, what will the bloodbath be? Four years ago I estimated that it would be several hundred thousands.

I now wish to amend that figure to well over one million (out of eighteen million people).

The critics of the war may claim that the forecasts are exaggerated. But Colonel Tran Van Dac, a North Vietnamese officer who defected after twenty-four years in the Communist party, stated that the Communists, if they win, would slaughter up to three million South Vienamese, and another colonel, Le Xuan Chuyen, who defected after twenty-one years, stated that five million people in South Vietnam were on the Communist "blood debt" list and that 10-15 per cent of these would pay with their lives. When asked in an interview if the possibility of a bloodbath had been exaggerated he replied: "It could not be exaggerated. It will happen."

Sir Robert Thompson is the British expert on guerrilla warfare who has undertaken secret missions for President Nixon to report on events in Vietnam.

Approved For Release 2001/08/28: CIA-RDP74B99415R999300200015-7
SHINGTON POST DATE PAG THE WASHINGTON POST

Cornell Author Disputes N. Vietnamese Bloodbath

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

charge by President Nixon and others that the North Vietnamese murdered north vietnamese murdered up to 500,000 of their own people when they took over the country in the 1950s is a 'myth,' according to a study circulated vesterday by Cornell University.

The charges are dispatched in a 59-page essay by D. Gareth Porter, a 30-year-old research associate at Cornell's international relations of East Asia project. Cornell's project office, in sending out the report, said it deserves "immediate, widespread public attention" because of Mr. Nixon's frequent references to the alleged bloodbath in North Vietnam.

"This bloodbath myth is the result of a deliberate propaganda campaign by the South Vietnamese and U.S. govern-ments to discredit" North Vietnam, Porter says in summarizing the paper he re-searched in South Vietnam and at Cornell Porter has been a critic of the Vietnam war.

The prime source for President Nixon, author Bernard Fall and others in describing the alleged massacre during the North Viefnamese land reform from 1953 to 1956 is a book guilty of "gross misquo-tation" and "fraudulent documentation," Porter alleges.

The book Porter cites is en-itled. "From Colonialism to ommunism" by Hoang Van hi Chi's book—published in 164 was financed and pro-

the Central Intelligence

Chi, now a course chairman in Southeast Asia orientation at the State Department's Washington Training Center, was at Ft. Bragg, N.C., lecturing and could not be reached for comment yesterday.

The National Security Council cited Chi's book as one of President Nixon's sources for. declaring on April 16, 1971, "I think of a half a million, by conservative estimates, in North Vietnam who were murdered or otherwise extermi-nated by the North Vietnamese after they took over from the South . . ."

The President added at that same news conference that "if the United States were to fail in Vietnam, if the Communists were to take over, the bloodbath that would follow would be a blot on this nation's history from which we would find it very difficult to return

Asked by Porter to document the President's "half a million" figure, the National Security Council quoted Chi as writing the following: "The guilt complex which haunted the peasants' minds after the massacre of about 5 per cent of the total population . ."
The National Security Council added on its own that "5 per cent of the total population of North Vietnam at that time would be about 700,000."

"Mr. Chi offers no justification for this allegation" that 5 per cent of the North Vietnamese population was murdered, asserts Porter, "but he suggests at one point that most of the deaths were those of children who starved 'owing to the isolation policy.' "

Charges Porter: "This is yet another of the many wholly unsubstantiated charges put dong Party) slogan by saying forth by Mr. Chi, for there it included the phrase, "liquiwas no such policy of isolating families, even of those landlords sentenced for serious the land crimes during

reform . "Yet it is mainly on the basis of Mr. Chi's totally unreliable account, the intention of which was plainly not historical accuracy but propaganda against" North Vietnam, says Porter, that the President of the United States himself has

In contrast to CIA's description of Chi as a "former Vietminh cadre" who could thus be expected to have firsthand knowledge of the land reform program, Porter asserts that Chi was never a party member before leaving the North for South Vietnam in 1955.

"Mr. Chi was himself a rela-

tively wealthy landowner," Porter claims on the basis of an interview with Chi. Thus, he argues, Chi could not be expected to give an unbiased account of the land reform program.

Western authors like Fall, says Porter, suffered a "critical" shortcoming because they could not read Vietnamese and thus could not research the original documents the North Vietnamese used to communicate with their own cadre. Fall and others, therefore, had to rely on Saigon and U.S. government summaries of the North Vietnamese material or on authors like Chi. (Porter reads Vietnamese and said in an interview that this enabled him to study documents that went to North Vietnamese cadre from party leaders.)

In an attempt to show the danger relying on summaries and other secondary sources, Porter charges Chi distorted a North Vietnamese party (Laodate the landlords." There was no such phrase, Porter asserts. Instead, he alleges, the slogan said: "Abolish the feudal regime of land ownership in a manner that is discriminating, methodical and under sound leadership."

He charges Chi also mistranslated Gen. Vo Nguyen Giap's speech of Oct. 29, 1956, on land reform. Giap, according the Chi's translation, said the told the American people that party "executed too many hon-a half a million" people est people" and came to re-gard torture as normal prac-

Comparing the Vietnamese or the text of Glap's speech with the Chi and Porter translations (which Porter said other scholars and Vietnamese corroborated), Porter alleges that "Mr. Chi's translation is one of his most flagrant abuses of documentary evidence."

Donald Brewster is the National Security Council staffer (on loan there from AID) who discussed the source of Mr. Nixon's bloodbath figures with Porter. Brewster told The Washington Post yesterday that real and literal meanings of Communist statements are different two sometimes things.

Brewster added that the sources he cited for Porter "are not the totality" of the material the White House relied upon. Also, said Brewster, it is the trend that is most important, not specific figures. In that sense, he said, the trend of Communist actions, such as North Vietnamese assassinations in Hue, shows fears of a bloodbath in South Vietnam are indeed well founded, just as President Nixon has stated.

Porter himself said in an interview that he has filed for conscientious objector status and would decline to serve in the military in the Vietnam war. He is on a year-long fellowship, \$5,000 for the academic year, in pursuit of his doctorate at Cornell's East Asia research center.



D. GARETH PORTER ... New Vietnam study